1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 2 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 3 **September 9, 2015** - 9:04 a.m. 4 NHPUG SEP23'15 AM 9:47 Concord, New Hampshire 5 6 DG 15-289 RE: 7 LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES: 8 Petition for Approval of a Gas Franchise in Lebanon and Hanover, New Hampshire. 9 (Prehearing conference) 10 11 12 PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott 13 Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey 14 Sandy Deno, Clerk 15 16 APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities: 17 Douglas L. Patch, Esq. (Orr & Reno) 18 Reptg. NG Advantage, LLC: Susan S. Geiger, Esq. (Orr & Reno) 19 Reptg. the City of Lebanon, N.H.: 20 Tim Corwin, Planning Dept. Chris Christopolous, Fire Chief 21 Ariel Arwen, pro se 22 23 Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52

24

ORIGINAL

```
1
 2
    APPEARANCES:
                    (continued)
 3
                    Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
                    Susan Chamberlin, Esq., Consumer Advocate
 4
                    Pradip Chattopadhyay, Asst. Consumer Adv.
                    Office of Consumer Advocate
 5
 6
                    Reptg. PUC Staff:
                    Alexander F. Speidel, Esq.
 7
                    Stephen P. Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Div.
                    Robert Wyatt, Safety Division
 8
                    Al-Azad Iqbal, Gas & Water Division
 9
10
     Also Present: Michael Fradette (Prometheus Energy)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
5	Mr. Patch	6
6	Ms. Arwen	7
7	Ms. Geiger	8
8	Mr. Corwin	9
9	Ms. Chamberlin	9
10	Mr. Speidel	9
11		
12	QUESTIONS BY:	
13	Chairman Honigberg	12
14	Ms. Arwen	15
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

{DG 15-289} [Prehearing conference] {09-09-15}

1	P	R	0	С	E	E	D	Ι	N	G

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this morning in Docket DG 15-289, which is Liberty Utilities'

Petition for Franchise Approval up in Hanover and Lebanon.

And, frankly, we expected more interventions. We have four interventions here. Maybe there's some people here who haven't filed. I'm not going to read the Order of Notice, because no one wants me to do that.

Let's take appearances before we go any further.

MR. PATCH: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Douglas Patch, from the law firm of the Orr & Reno, on behalf of Liberty Utilities

(EnergyNorth Natural Gas). And, with me this morning,

Steven Mullen, William Clark, and Michael Licata.

MS. GEIGER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I'm Susan Geiger, from the law firm of Orr & Reno, and I represent NG Advantage, LLC.

MS. ARWEN: Good morning, Mr. Chair.

I'm Ariel Arwen, appearing pro se, on behalf of myself, as a resident of Lebanon, New Hampshire.

MR. CORWIN: Good morning. My name is Tim Corwin. I'm with the City of Lebanon. And, with me this morning is Chief Chris Christopolous. Thank you.

{DG 15-289} [Prehearing conference] {09-09-15}

```
1
                         MR. FRADETTE: Good morning. Mike
 2
       Fradette, with Prometheus Energy. I'm hear just observing
 3
       the process.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm sorry, who are
 5
       you with?
 6
                         MR. FRADETTE: Prometheus Energy.
 7
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN: Good morning,
       Commissioners. Susan Chamberlin, Consumer Advocate.
 8
                                                             And,
 9
       with me today is Pradip Chattopadhyay.
10
                         MR. SPEIDEL: Good morning, Commission.
11
       Alexander Speidel, representing Commission staff. And, I
12
       have with me Steve Frink, Bob Wyatt, and Iqbal Al-Azad of
       Commission Staff.
13
14
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
15
      Motions to Intervene from Ms. Arwen, who is here, I see;
16
       from Attorney Geiger; we have from the City. So, you're
17
      here for -- are you from Mr. Waugh's firm, is that --
18
                         MR. CORWIN: No. Attorney Waugh is not
19
       here today. I'm with the City of Lebanon Planning
20
       Department.
21
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You're actually a
22
       City employee, rather than counsel?
23
                         MR. CORWIN: Correct.
24
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. All right.
```

1 Those are, I think, the only three intervention motions that we've received. And, as I said at the beginning, I'm 2 3 a little surprised. Perhaps, as the parties give little 4 talks about what they expect is going to be happening 5 here, and I know you have a technical session scheduled 6 later, how come we had so many people for the other one, 7 and some of those people aren't here for this one? Well, maybe someone can talk about that a little bit. 8 9 Anyway, Mr. Patch, why don't you begin. 10 MR. PATCH: First of all, Mr. Chairman, 11 if it would be helpful, Liberty has no objection to any of the Petitions to Intervene that you have mentioned. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you. 14 MR. PATCH: As I think the Commissioners know, EnergyNorth is in this docket seeking the 15 16 Commission's approval to commence business in Hanover and 17 Lebanon as a gas utility, so that it may construct, own, 18 and operate an off-pipeline and self-contained natural gas 19 distribution system. And, we believe we've made at least 20 a prima facie showing in the documents that we have filed 21 that we should be entitled to obtain that franchise. 22 Obviously, you have before you another 23

docket with a competing franchise. We're here today, obviously, to cooperate with the Staff and the OCA and the

```
1
       intervenors to try to come up with a schedule that we
       think works. The other docket has a schedule that I think
 2
 3
       only takes us through discovery. And, so, we want to work
 4
       with the Staff and the others to try to come up with a
 5
       reasonable schedule to do this, and to make sure the
       Commission has before it all of the information that it
 6
 7
       needs in order to make an informed decision about this
 8
       franchise request.
 9
                         I think that's all I have to say at this
10
       point in time.
11
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
                                              Thank you,
12
      Mr. Patch. Ms. Arwen, do you have anything you want to
13
      put on the record at this time? I know you've -- we have
14
       your written Motion to Intervene, which we'll -- I don't
15
      know if we'll rule on it as we're sitting here, but I
16
       think you can assume that you're going to have an
17
       opportunity to participate. Is there anything you want to
18
       put on the record right now?
19
                         MS. ARWEN: Yes.
                                           I'd like to take the
20
       opportunity to point out that the Commission granted me
21
       intervenor status in DG 15-155. And, my interests are the
22
       same in each case.
23
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.
      Ms. Geiger.
24
```

1 MS. GEIGER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. 2 Chairman. As our Petition to Intervene has indicated, 3 Liberty does not object, and they've reiterated that this 4 morning. NG Advantage is participating in this docket 5 because it believes it has relevant experience as a 6 participant with an island or an off-pipeline system in 7 Vermont that enables it to provide useful information to the Commission and Staff and other parties in this docket, 8 9 as the Commission faces issues of first impression here. 10 For example, NG Advantage will pursue 11 the issues of assuring that backup supply plans for this franchise area include or provide the opportunity for LNG 12 13 and CNG mixes, and that interruptible customers are 14 properly accounted for. 15 However, NG Advantage's primary concern 16 here is that any competitive -- any supply procured for 17 this franchise area is done as the result of a fair and 18 open, competitive process, and that NG Advantage, 19 obviously, is interested in participating in that. So, toward that end, NG Advantage's 20 21 preliminary position here is that the Commission should, 22 as a condition, if it decides to award a franchise, either

to Liberty or to another party, that it require that supply for the system be procured as a result of the

23

```
1
       competitive process.
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.
 2
 3
       Mr. Corwin, is there anything you want to offer at this
       time?
 4
 5
                         MR. CORWIN: No.
                                          Thank you. We don't
 6
       have anything to add, other than what's already contained
 7
       within our Petition to Intervene.
 8
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Chamberlin.
 9
                         MS. CHAMBERLIN: Thank you. The Office
10
       of Consumer Advocate is intervening to represent the
11
       interests of residential customers. In a situation like
12
       this, it's typically a large anchor customer or two that
13
       will negotiate the first deal, and then we are seeking to
14
       make sure that residential customers have an opportunity
       to participate, to the extent that it's economically
15
16
       feasible.
17
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel.
18
                         MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
       Staff will not venture a guess as to why there are fewer
20
       or more intervenors in one docket or another.
21
       genuinely don't know.
22
                         But that said, with regards to
23
       Prometheus Energy, considering that they are not a private
24
       citizen and they are a corporate entity, I would strongly
```

```
1
       encourage the Prometheus folks to consider filing a late
      Motion for Intervention, if they want to have a meaningful
 2
 3
       role in the technical sessions or in the discovery
 4
       process. Because, if you do not have intervention status,
 5
       you do not have a right to propound discovery. And, so,
 6
       perhaps they think that at this stage it would be
 7
       advantageous for them not to file intervention. But I
       would like to just send that flag up that, if they do want
 8
 9
       to have any sort of active role at all in the process, and
10
       that would include asking an oral question at a technical
11
       session of any kind, that they should seek a late
       intervention request approval from the Commission, I would
12
13
       encourage them to do that.
14
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Kind of like that
15
       technical session that's going to start after we're done
16
       here?
17
                         MR. SPEIDEL: Yes.
18
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is that what you're
19
       referring to?
20
                         MR. SPEIDEL: Exactly, yes. Things of
21
       that nature. Because, if you're an intervenor, you have
22
       rights, and you count noses, and you say "such-and-such is
23
       an intervenor, and the other person's an intervenor, and
24
       then you have an entity that's an intervenor." It's much
```

cleaner that way.

In the distant past, there have been instances where folks have said "Well, I don't want to be an intervenor, but I want to participate and propound discovery", and it was actually a matter of conscience for one such person, and we kind of did a workaround, but they actually had to file for intervention.

So, in this instance, I would like to say that the Staff has received and reviewed the Liberty filing, and it is being reviewed on its own merits. And, it is being carefully examined, and there's going to be a series of questions that are going to be issued by Staff over the pendency of this proceeding.

It's going to be handled on its own.

After internal deliberation and discussion, Staff came to the conclusion that it's very important to examine the merits of this proposal and the Valley Green proposal as separate corporate entities, separate approaches, and not to intertwine the two.

So, in this instance, we look forward to working with the Company and the intervenors and examining the issues, and determining whether Liberty is in a position to offer safe, economical, and reliable service to the people of Lebanon and Hanover. Thank you.

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You don't have any
 2
       objection to the Motions for Intervention that have been
 3
       filed, do you?
 4
                         MR. SPEIDEL: No objection.
 5
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fradette, if
 6
       you were to file a motion to intervene and want to
 7
       participate in this docket, what might it say? What would
 8
       your interests be in this proceeding?
 9
                         MR. FRADETTE:
                                        I think it --
10
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If you take a
11
       microphone, that will be helpful.
12
                         MR. FRADETTE: From the -- can you hear
13
      me okay?
14
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
15
                         (Brief off-the-record discussion
16
                         ensued.)
17
                         MR. FRADETTE:
                                        There we go. Prometheus
18
       Energy would be in line with the position of NG Advantage,
       in that we'd want to ensure there were a competitive, fair
19
20
       and open process for supply of LNG and/or related services
21
       for the facility, in the event we were to submit a late
22
       intervention status. As well as we provide
23
       industrial-scale and commercial-scale LNG solutions
24
       throughout the U.S. In the event that were of value to
```

the Staff or to -- throughout the process, we could provide that.

A question, if you're able to answer, when are we -- when would be the deadline for submitting a late intervention notice?

answer is "once you're late, you're late", and there was a deadline set. But there are statutes and rules that govern intervention. They are — there are many people in the room who can work with you on what the — what the pluses and minuses are of being an intervenor. I think that there are lots of things non-intervenors who are interested in the docket can do to keep themselves informed and offer comments. There are limits, however, if one is not an intervenor.

I guess what I would say is, after we're done, I mean, if you haven't made your decision before we're done, and I think there's no reason why you wouldn't necessarily have done that, at the beginning of the technical session you can speak with the folks who are here about what it is you would want to do, and what it makes sense for you to do as a result of those desires in the context of deciding whether to try to intervene.

Before I go any further, I'm going to

consult with the other Commissioners here.

(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So, there's two things I'm going to say. One is, the Motions to Intervene that have been filed, we're granting those motions. So, all of the intervenors who have filed are now parties to the case.

One thing that I was encouraged to remind you, Mr. Fradette, is that, if you choose not to intervene now, but want to at a later date, you'll be living with the schedule that the parties decide is the schedule. And, if, at some later date, say "oh, I want to come into the case, because I need to know X, Y or Z", that may be a problem. And, that said, that may not be important to you.

You may realize that Attorney Geiger is going to be doing all of the things you would do, or you may conclude that merely keeping abreast of what's going on and being able to provide public comment, which you can do, written or, in some context, oral, before hearings begin, it may be sufficient. I don't think we can answer those questions for you.

I don't think there's anything else we necessarily need to do. Is there? I'll look at the

```
1
       counsel and the parties to see if there's anything else
 2
       you need from us?
 3
                         (No verbal response)
 4
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fradette, do
 5
       you have any other questions that we probably won't
 6
       answer, but you'll alert the lawyers to before you get to
 7
       the technical session?
                                             I appreciate that,
 8
                         MR. FRADETTE: No.
 9
       the opportunity to speak.
10
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
                                                          Ιf
11
       there's -- yes, Ms. Arwen?
12
                         MS. ARWEN: Yes. Regarding scheduling,
13
       I wondered if it's possible to consider scheduling the
14
       conferences, the technical sessions, and the hearings for
15
       both dockets, 15-155 and 15-289, so that they occur on the
16
       same day, if at all possible? Many of the issues in the
17
       two dockets will be identical. I intend to have expert
18
       witnesses provide testimony, and having those witnesses
       appear on separate days increases the convenience for them
19
20
       and the expense for me. It also reduces my effectiveness
21
       as an intervenor.
22
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think, in the
23
       first instance, to the extent you can work out scheduling
24
       issues with the other parties, that's how things would
```

```
1
       normally work. I expect you are not the only one who will
       have people who will be working in both dockets on many of
 2
 3
       the same issues. I expect lots of people will agree with
       you, that that's a very efficient way to proceed. If, at
 4
 5
       the end of whatever negotiated process, you or anyone else
 6
       isn't satisfied with the schedule that's being set, you
 7
       would need to bring it to our attention to try and fix it,
       try and get us to fix it.
 8
 9
                         But, in the first instance, you're all
10
       going to try and set a schedule that makes sense for you,
11
       many -- everyone here, I think, is aware of the schedule
12
       that's in the other docket. It would make sense to me to
13
       be coordinating those schedules.
14
                         As I said, if, at the end of the day,
15
       something doesn't match, and you or anybody else needs us
16
       to consider changing it, the way to do that is to ask us
17
       to do that at that time.
18
                         MS. ARWEN:
                                     Thank you.
19
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Attorney Speidel.
20
                         MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
21
                 Just sort of and by way of clarification, we
22
      haven't set a hearing date yet in the Valley Green
23
       proceeding, DG 15-155. And, we are working through two
```

rounds of discovery at the present time and haven't

established a testimonial deadline. Certainly, their written prefiled testimony, it's not germane as to what actual hearing dates are, if the written testimony is similar in both dockets for Ms. Arwen. So, that's one issue that is kind of a nonissue.

The second piece is, we don't know if we're going to necessarily be in a position to generate a full Staff recommendation on this docket at the same time as we do for Valley Green, given that Valley Green filed that much farther in advance. And, there has been more work ongoing in discovery.

So, yes, perhaps Ms. Arwen is right, in that, and from her perspective, there are some similarities in the case. Certainly, the franchise territory is the same. But, in Staff's view, we firmly believe that one is not dependent on the other, and we're working through both on their own tracks.

that. And, I know that Valley Green, from what they said in their prehearing conference, they feel very strongly that they are entitled to their own schedule, and I don't think anybody is going to disagree with that. And, I think Liberty is entitled to its own schedule. If, at some point, they end up on the same track, that's what's

```
1
       going to happen. And, if not, that's what's going to
 2
       happen.
 3
                         Anything else, before we leave you to
 4
       your technical session?
                         (No verbal response)
 5
 6
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing no one
 7
       jumping up, we will adjourn then, and wish you good luck
 8
       with your technical session. Thank you all.
 9
                         (Whereupon the prehearing conference was
10
                         adjourned at 9:21 a.m., and a technical
11
                         session was held thereafter.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```